IN THE SPECIFICATION

Please replace the paragraph at page 2, line 25 to page 3, line 21, with the following rewritten paragraph:

The base layer contains a polyethylene terephthalate type resin and a polycarbonate type resin. It preferably contains from 70 to 97 wt% of a polyethylene terephthalate type resin and from 3 to 30 wt% of a polycarbonate type resin based on the total amount of the polyethylene terephthalate type resin and the polycarbonate type resin. If the compounding ratio of the polycarbonate type resin is low, impact resistance at a low temperature tends to decrease, and if it is high, transparency tends to decrease and cloudiness tend to decrease tends to increase. Transparency is necessary in a case where the state of an electronic component such as IC which is a product to be packaged in the container is visually observed from the outside. The balance between strength and transparency is excellent within a range of the polyethylene terephthalate type resin of from 70 to 97 wt% and the polycarbonate type resin of from 3 to 30 wt%. From the viewpoint of the transparency and the cloudiness, the transparency is preferably at least 85% and the cloudiness is preferably at most 15% in order to visually observe the state of a packaged product from the outside. The state of the electronic component in the container can be visually observed from the outside within these ranges.

Please replace the paragraph at page 13, lines 20-27, with the following rewritten paragraph:

Results of measurement of the total light transmittance, cloudiness and impact strength of these sheets are shown in Table 1. With respect to the total light transmittance and cloudiness, no significant change was observed until the content of the PC resin in the

Application No. 10/030,103

Reply to Office Action of May 10, 2004 and Advisory Action

base became 30 parts by weight, but the cloudiness greatly <u>decreased increased</u> when the content became higher than 30 parts by weight.

Please replace Table 1 at page 16, with the following rewritten Table 1:

Table 1

		Ш	Examples	w		Comp	arativ	Comparative Examples	oles	
		1	2	3	1	2	3	4	5	9
Base layer	PC resin	5	10	30	0	[[5]]	40	50	0	100
	PET resin	95	06	70	100	<u>9597</u>	09	50	100	0
Both surface layers	PC resin	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	1	1
Total light transmittance (%)	tance (%)	89	88.9	88.1	06	90	88.5	88.8	06	90.6
Cloudiness (%)		2.2	2.6	6.1	ᆏ	1.2	15	22	Н	Н
Du Pont impact strength	gth (J)	1.96	1.91	2.18	1.96	1.95	2.15	2.16	1.84	2.4